



Type here to search This Folder [Address Book] [Options]

- Mail
- Calendar
- Contacts
- Deleted Items (2293)
- Drafts [257]
- Inbox (28)
- Junk E-Mail [41]
- Sent Items
- [Click to view all folders](#)
- ALISE/ASIST/MLA
- Class/Students/Advising (18)
- IOwens (11)
- NCCU/Admin/Svc (1)
- Research/Publications/Co... (5)
- SLIS/Webpage (6)
- Swain (2)
- gpeterson/personal/biz (19)
- [Manage Folders...](#)

Reply Reply to All Forward Move Delete Junk Close

Review a manuscript for the JMLA? Susan Starr [jmlaeditorbox@gmail.com]

You replied on 8/30/2010 3:06 PM.

Sent: Monday, August 16, 2010 11:54 AM
To: Peterson, Gabriel M
Attachments: Retractions blinded.doc (109 KB) [\[Open as Web Page\]](#)

Hello Gabriel

We have received the attached manuscript, "Reporting of article retraction on bibliographic databases and onlin submitted for possible publication in the Journal of the Medical Library Association. I am looking for someor three peer reviewers for the paper. Since the topic is closely related to that of your recent publication in the JM would be an excellent person to do a review.

I'm hoping you will be able to review it.

Below is the form we ask reviewers to complete so you can see what is involved. I would need the review ret September 8, if possible.

Do you think you could do the review? Would the 8th work for you?

Many thanks

Best,

Susan Starr, Editor, JMLA

Guidelines For Manuscript Reviewers

Journal of the Medical Library Association

Send your comments by email (jmlaeditorbox@gmail.com) They can be included in the body of the email or a

Please follow this format when sending your comments. Be as specific as possible. The more detail you can p help you will be to the author.

Your Name

Title of Article

Is the article: Acceptable? Acceptable with minor revisions? Acceptable with major revisions? Unacceptabl

Specific comments for the editor only: Include here any comments that you think are important for my c that you do not want me to pass along to the author.

Comments to be returned to the author:

problem usually entails description and evaluation.

Methodology, Approach, Conclusions: The methodology for data gathering and analysis should be appropriate for the problem addressed. Inferences from data should be sound -- the author should not reach undue conclusions. Inferences should entail research methodology, especially those which describe procedures or those which are historical. Are the inferences accurate? Is it clear that the author knows, or has investigated previous work in the subject of the paper?

Writing Style, References: Please indicate whether there are problems with expression, with grammar, or with style. Does the writing need to be tightened; are there many superfluous words; is the paper [unduly] padded? Please take the time to do copyediting -- that will be handled later in the process. However, general comments pointing out problems with style or format are useful.

Highlights and Implications (typically included for full papers only): Do the highlights capture the critical messages of the paper? Highlights should not merely restate the abstract but allow readers to understand the main points of the paper. Implications should address the paper's information practice-related implications.

Other Comments: Any other comments not covered by the above categories.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>
